

mL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,818	08/19/2003	YI-CHEN CHANG	11260-US-PA	1817	
31561 759	0 03/07/2007 NTELLECTUAL PROI	EXAMINER			
7 FLOOR-1, NO.	100	PERVAN, MICHAEL			
ROOSEVELT RO TAIPEI, 100	OAD, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAIWAN		2629			
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 03/07/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/604,818		CHANG, YI-CHEN					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
·		Michael Pervan		2629					
The MAILING DATE of this	communication app		heet with the co	orrespondence add	dress				
Period for Reply		/ IO OFT TO EVE	DE AMONTHU	OD TUUDTV (00	N DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	M THE MAILING DA ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period w niod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time X (6) MONTHS from to Decome ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 04 Ja	anuary 2007.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.									
•—									
closed in accordance with t	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims				·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pen	ding in the applicati	on.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are reje	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected	d to by the Examine	۲.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•		cted to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request tha									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the	drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the a	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		. `					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made o	f a claim for foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
1. Certified copies of th	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of th	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the		•	**						
* See the attached detailed Of	fice action for a list	of the certified cop	ies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)									
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 	Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 📙 O	Other:						

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama (US 2003/0030382) in view of Komiya (US 6,924,602).

In regards to claim 1, Koyama (Figure 2A) discloses a method for driving a current-driven Active Matrix Organic Light Emitting Diode (AMOLED) comprising, updating a current value of a current source (the current is input from source line 1201) to charge a capacitor (1207) of the AMOLED pixel (paragraph 100; a current flows through transistor 1205 causing a voltage to be input and stored on capacitor 1207, therefore a current source is charging a capacitor), turning on a charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel (paragraph 100; transistors 1203 and 1204 are turned on and current flows through transistors 1205, then a voltage is input and stored on capacitor 1207, therefore a charging path is turned on), complete the charging of the capacitor (paragraph 100, lines 8-11; voltage is input to capacitor 1207, therefore a voltage is stored and the charge is complete) and cutting off the charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel (paragraph 100, lines 14-28; transistors 1203 and 1204 and the current flow stops and transistor 1205 turns off, therefore a charging path is turned off).

Koyama does not disclose an initial stage of the turning on of the charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel, providing a pre-charging signal to the current source to have the capacitor discharged.

Komiya discloses an initial stage of the turning on of the charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel (col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 36), providing a pre-charging signal to the current source to have the capacitor discharged (col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 36).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Koyama to incorporate the teachings of Komiya, an initial stage of the turning on of the charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel, providing a pre-charging signal to the current source to have the capacitor discharged, because it prevents the generation of an afterimage (col. 2, lines 17-19).

In regards to claim 2, Koyoma does not disclose a pre-charging signal that makes the capacitor to discharge to a pre-determined level.

Komiya discloses a pre-charging signal that makes the capacitor to discharge to a pre-determined level (col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 36).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Koyama to incorporate the teachings of Komiya, a pre-charging signal that makes the capacitor to discharge to a pre-determined level, because it prevents the generation of an afterimage (col. 2, lines 17-19).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/604,818 . Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art (Li, US 6,756,741 and Yumoto, US 6,859,193) are deemed relevant since they disclose updating a current value of a current source, turning on a charging path used by the current source to charge a capacitor of the AMOLED pixel, completing the charging of the capacitor and cutting off the charging path used by the current source to charge the capacitor of the AMOLED pixel.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday between 8am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,818

Art Unit: 2629

MVP Feb. 26, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED